The effects for which we find evidence are troubling, because they are so clearly inconsistent with the conventional understanding of social networks. For instance, satisfaction effects and question-score reliability patterns both suggest that researchers would reach opposite conclusions depending on the order in which the names generator and intervention were presented.

Our conclusion is limited in several ways, some of which are of consequence for future work. One limitation of our instrument design is that our instrument does not include a test for non-order effects. Without being able to estimate the true level of statistical power reached in our study, we are unable to rule out the possibility that our results could have been due to chance. The authors of our study have not yet determined whether the study participants read and understood the instructions about sex and age. Without being able to estimate the true level of statistical power reached in our study, we are unable to rule out the possibility that our results could have been due to chance. The authors of our study have not yet determined whether the study participants read and understood the instructions about sex and age.
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